

Supporting Text

Gas diffusion and enclosure model

Here we use a one dimensional gas diffusion and enclosure model (1) to calculate the width of the age distribution for the different trace gases and ice core sites. The width depends mainly on the accumulation rate and the annual mean temperature of the site and on the molecular diffusion coefficient of the trace gas. The distribution is smallest for sites with very high accumulation rates and temperatures, e.g., Law Dome at present conditions, and widest for sites with very low accumulation rates and temperatures, e.g., EPICA Dome C at glacial conditions. Since a major part of the greenhouse gas data are based on measurements on the EPICA Dome C ice core and the smoothing effect is strongest at this site, we calculated age distributions especially for this site. For the present (Last Glacial Maximum (LGM)) Antarctic climate conditions a Dome C site temperature of -54°C (-64°C) and an accumulation rate of $29\text{ kg/m}^2/\text{yr}$ ($13\text{ kg/m}^2/\text{yr}$) is used. As an illustration how narrow age distributions from Greenland and Antarctic high accumulation rate/temperature ice cores compared to Dome C are, we calculate the GRIP age distribution for Holocene conditions (-31°C , $220\text{ kg/m}^2/\text{yr}$). Fig. 7 shows CO_2 and CH_4 age distributions at Dome C and GRIP for different climatic conditions. The distribution is asymmetric with a long tail at Dome C. The width of the distribution, as referred to in the main text, is calculated at half height of the maximum of the distribution.

Attenuation of the anthropogenic greenhouse gas increases in ice cores

Additionally to the age distribution, the model calculates for a given set of climatic input parameters and a prescribed atmospheric evolution its corresponding attenuated signal as it would be recorded in the ice core. The calculations have been accomplished by using the conditions at EPICA Dome C, the site with the lowest accumulation rate among the sites used for the reconstruction of the greenhouse gases based on polar ice cores over the last 20 ka (Fig. 1). The attenuation at Dome C serves as an extreme: if an atmospheric signal is not smoothed out at Dome C, it will not be smoothed out in any other ice core used for this study. The attenuated

CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O increases are calculated for present and LGM conditions at Dome C. The reference attenuation is the maximum value of the mean from the present and LGM runs for each gas (see section S1 for climate parameters). Deduced rate of change from this mean have maximum values of 0.26 ppm yr⁻¹ for CO₂, 2.7 ppb yr⁻¹ for CH₄, 0.13 ppb yr⁻¹ for N₂O and 6.1 10⁻³ W m⁻² yr⁻¹ for their combined radiative forcing. Input data and results of these calculations are shown in Fig. 8.

Comparing these attenuated greenhouse gas increases with those observed in ice cores over the last glacial cycle, the anthropogenic increase is clearly unique. First, the data resolution is sufficient to exclude with very high confidence (9 out of 10 chance to be correct) a concentration peak similar to the anthropogenic rise for the past 50,000 years for CO₂ (2-4), for the past 80,000 years for CH₄ (2, 3, 5-13) and for the past 16,000 years for N₂O (2, 14). Second, when calculating the rate of concentration change on the attenuated increases, the current rate of change is indeed much larger than the reconstructed changes in the past for CO₂, CH₄, and the combined greenhouse gas forcing (see Refs. above).

Radiative forcing

Table 1 summarizes the equations used to calculate radiative forcing from the concentration and emission data. A few forcing components that contribute to the anthropogenic radiative forcing are not explicitly included or neglected in our quantitative assessment as our information on their rate of change is poor and/or their contributions, as estimated by (15), are small. Radiative forcing from tropospheric ozone, estimated to be + 0.35 W m⁻² (5% to 95% confidence range: +0.25 to + 0.65) in year 2005, by black and organic carbon (+0.18 ± 0.2 W m⁻²), forcing from stratospheric water vapor effects from methane (0.07 ± 0.05 W m⁻²) and changes in stratospheric ozone (-0.05 ± 0.1 W m⁻²) are not explicitly considered. We estimate based on input data used to drive the BernCC model (16) that these forcing contributed with around 7 10⁻³ W m⁻² yr⁻¹ to the average rate of change of the past 40 years. Radiative forcing from dust (-0.1 ± 0.2 W m⁻²) and nitrate aerosols (-0.1 ± 0.1 W m⁻²) as well as forcing from altered albedo (-0.1 ± 0.2 W m⁻²) in response to changes in land use and black carbon aerosols on snow may have offset about half of

the former rate. In conclusion, we infer that all these forcings together contribute to the rate of change in radiative forcing with a few 10^{-3} W per m^2 and year.

Data and splines

In this section data sources, sample spacing, uncertainties of ice and firn data, and the technical details of the spline fitting procedure are presented.

The concentration records of the past 22,000 and 2000 years used to calculate rates of change are compiled from the following sources. For the 22,000 year record, ice and firn data for CO_2 are from (2, 3, 17-20), for CH_4 from (2, 3, 5, 6, 21-23), and for N_2O from (2, 14, 24, 25). The Dome C CO_2 data are used on the Dome C time scale as by (19). The CH_4 data from GRIP and Dome C are on the GRIP SS09 time scale. For the past 2000 years, ice and firn data from the Law Dome site are used (17, 18, 26). Atmospheric data are from the NOAA/ESRL Global Monitoring Division, representing weekly-mean global average concentrations (23, 27) (and also Pers. Comm. from J. Butler, 2004; T. Conway, 2004; E.J. Dlugokencky, 2004), and from Mauna Loa, Hawaii (28).

Sample spacing for the composite CO_2 record is typically 100 years or less during the Holocene and around 200 years during the last transition, with more frequent sampling during periods of fast variations. For the composite CH_4 record, sample spacing is about 100 years during periods with slow variations and about 50 years otherwise. Sample spacing for N_2O is around 100 years for the past 20 ka. Sampling intervals are shorter for the Law Dome record of the past 2 ka (Fig. 5d). CO_2 and CH_4 samples are taken about every 20 years during the last millennium and about every 30 to 60 years during 0 to 1000 AD, while N_2O is sampled less frequently before 1500 AD. Sampling resolution is high and a few years only over the industrial period. Data spacing for the GRIP record (Fig. 1d) is of the order of 100 years. More samples have been analyzed around periods of large CH_4 variations such as the transition to the Bølling, the end of the Younger Dryas and the 8.2 ka event, when data spacing is about 30 to 50 years.

Measurement precision for the ice core samples is typically less than 1 ppm for the CO₂ data from Dome C, South Pole and Kohnen Station and 1.2 ppm for the Law Dome data. For CH₄, precision (1 σ) is 4.1 ppb for the Law Dome data and 10 ppb for the GRIP, Eurocore, Dome C and South Pole data. For N₂O, uncertainties (1 σ) varies among cores and is 1.1 ppb for the South Pole firn data, 3.7 ppb for the GRIP data, and 6.5 ppb for the Law Dome data. We consider a 40-year period and assume Gaussian error propagation to estimate upper bounds for the uncertainties in the rate of change. This yields 0.04 ppm yr⁻¹ for CO₂, 0.7 ppb yr⁻¹ for CH₄, and 0.2 ppb yr⁻¹ for N₂O. This is small compared to the typical rates of increase during the industrial period.

Spline fit: The spline fit of (29) acts like a digital low-pass filter. The cut-off period, $T_{0.5}$, the period at which the signal is dampened by 50%, is a function of a free parameter, λ , the data spacing, Δt , and the weight assigned to an individual data point (the weight is taken to be proportional to the inverse of the square of the uncertainty, δ , assigned to an individual data point i):

$$T_{0.5} = 2\pi \left(\lambda \cdot \Delta t \cdot \delta_i^2 \right)^{0.25} \quad \text{Eq. 1}$$

Periods shorter than the cut-off period are further suppressed. The cut-off period is selected using an appropriate value for λ . λ has been determined individually for periods with similar data spacing. Then, the cut-off period is approximately constant over the period as the cut-off period only weakly depends on the data spacing.

The Law Dome and atmospheric records of the past 2000 years were smoothed with a cut-off period of 40 years to recover multidecadal variability. A period of 40 years roughly corresponds to the resolution of the Law Dome data. The Law Dome ice and firn data used for the last 2,000 years have a width of the age distribution of up to 20 years (air age spread is 10-12 years for DE08/-2 ice samples, 18-20 years for DSS ice samples and 5 years for DSSW20K firn air samples) (17). Uncertainties in dating is less than 3 years for the Law Dome DE08 and DE08 cores and less than ± 5 years for the DSS cores (17).

The cut-off frequencies for the 22,000-year records have been selected for different parts of the record by taking into account the data spacing and the width of the age distribution of the ice core measurements. The resulting spline fits follow the data very closely (Fig. 1, 6). The technical details for the standard spline fits for the past 22,000 years are as follows. The records are divided into periods with relatively uniform data spacing. The CO₂ record was splined with a cut-off period of 500 years from 22 to 12 thousand years before present (ka BP) to follow also the relatively fast variations found during the transition. A cut-off period of 1000 years was used for the periods from 12 to 10 ka BP and from 10 to 2 ka BP. A cut-off period of 100 years is applied from 2 ka BP to 1850 AD and from 1850 AD to 1958 AD, and of 40 year from 1958 AD to 1978 AD (the period covered by Mauna Loa data) and from 1978 AD to 2005 AD when NOAA global air sampling data are available. The CH₄ data were fitted with a cut-off period of 300 years until 12 ka BP, with a cut-off period of 1000 years from 12 to 10 ka BP, with a cut-off period of 4000 years from 10 to 1 ka BP, with a cut-off period of 500 years from 1050 AD to 1750 AD, with a cut-off period of 300 years from 1750 AD to 1850 AD, with a cut-off period of 100 years from 1850 AD to 1980 AD, and with a cut-off period of 10 years from 1980 AD to 2004 AD. The N₂O record was splined with a cut-off period of 500 years for the periods from 16.4 to 11 ka BP, from 11 ka BP to 850 AD, and from 850 AD to 1600 AD, with a cut-off period of 200 years from 1600 AD to 1900 AD, with a cut-off period of 100 years from 1900 AD to 1977 AD, and of 40 years from 1977 AD to 2004 AD.

The Northern Hemisphere CH₄ data from GRIP and Eurocore and the NOAA data have been splined with a cut-off period of 600 years before 15 ka BP, with a cut-off period of 400 years from 14 to 12 ka BP and 11 to 8.6 ka BP and from 7.6 ka BP to 1400 AD, with a cut-off period of 100 years from 15 to 14 ka BP and 12 to 11 ka BP and 8.6 to 7.6 ka BP and from 1400 AD to 1980 AD, and with a cut-off period of 10 years from 1980 AD to 2005 AD.

The volcanic and solar forcing series of the last millennium and the record of halocarbons and SF₆ forcing were splined with a cut-off period of 40 years for comparison with forcing from CO₂, CH₄, and N₂O.

Rates of change for selected periods

Average rates of change, r , for distinct periods are directly determined from the ice core data following:

$$r = \frac{c(t_2) - c(t_1)}{t_2 - t_1}, \text{ Eq. 2}$$

where c represents measured concentration, t_1 , the time at the begin and t_2 at the end of the period. Tables 2 and 3 summarize the results for CO₂ and CH₄. The 20th century rate of change in CO₂ forcing is fourteen times larger and that for CH₄ forcing is more than four times larger than any sustained forcing changes computed for previous periods of the past 22,000 years.

1. Spahni R, Schwander J, Flückiger J, Stauffer B, Chappellaz J, Raynaud D (2003) *Geophysical Research Letters* 30:1571, doi:10.1029/2003GL017093.
2. Flückiger J, Monnin E, Stauffer B, Schwander J, Stocker TF, Chappellaz J, Raynaud D, Barnola JM (2002) *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* 16:1010, doi:10.1029/2001GB001417.
3. Monnin E, Indermühle A, Dällenbach A, Flückiger J, Stauffer B, Stocker TF, Raynaud D, Barnola JM (2001) *Science* 291:112-114.
4. Indermühle A, Stocker TF, Joos F, Fischer H, Smith HJ, Wahlen M, Deck B, Mastroianni D, Tschumi J, Blunier T, Meyer R, Stauffer B (1999) *Nature* 398:121-126.
5. Blunier T, Chappellaz J, Schwander J, Stauffer B, Raynaud D (1995) *Nature* 374:46-49.
6. Chappellaz J, Blunier T, Kints S, Dällenbach A, Barnola JM, Schwander J, Raynaud D, Stauffer B (1997) *J Geophys Res Atmos* 102:15987-15997.

7. Chappellaz J, Blunier T, Raynaud D, Barnola JM, Schwander J, Stauffer B (1993) *Nature* 366:443-445.
8. Dällenbach A, Blunier T, Flückiger J, Stauffer B, Chappellaz J, Raynaud D (2000) *Geophys Res Lett* 27:1005-1008.
9. Flückiger J, Blunier T, Stauffer B, Chappellaz J, Spahni R, Kawamura K, Schwander J, Stocker TF, Dahl-Jensen D (2004) *Global Biogeochem Cycles* 18:GB1020, doi:10.1029/2003GB002122.
10. Huber C, Leuenberger M, Spahni R, Flückiger J, Schwander J, Stocker TF, Johnsen SJ, Landais A, Jouzel J (2006) *Earth Planet Sci Lett* 243:504-519.
11. Spahni R, Chappellaz J, Stocker TF, Loulergue L, Hausammann G, Kawamura K, Flückiger J, Schwander J, Raynaud D, Masson-Delmotte V, Jouzel J (2005) *Science* 310:1317-1321.
12. Brook EJ, Harder S, Severinghaus J, Steig EJ, Sucher CM (2000) *Global Biogeochem Cycles* 14:559-572.
13. Brook EJ, Sowers T, Orchardo J (1996) *Science* 23:1087-1091.
14. Flückiger J, Dällenbach A, Blunier T, Stauffer B, Stocker TF, Raynaud D, Barnola JM (1999) *Science* 285:227-230.
15. Forster P, Ramaswamy V, Artaxo P, Berntsen T, Betts R, Fahey DW, Haywood J, Lean J, Lowe DC, Myhre G, Nganga J, Prinn R, Raga G, Schulz M, Van Doorland R (2007) in *Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*, eds Solomon S, Qin D, Manning M, Chen Z, Marquis M, Averyt KB, Tignor M, Miller HL (Cambridge Univ Press, Cambridge, UK), pp 129-234.

16. Joos F, Prentice IC, Sitch S, Meyer R, Hooss G, Plattner GK, Gerber S, Hasselmann K (2001) *Global Biogeochem Cycles* 15:891-908.
17. Etheridge DM, Steele LP, Langenfelds RL, Francey RJ, Barnola JM, Morgan VI (1996) *J Geophys Res Atmos* 101:4115-4128.
18. MacFarling Meure CM, Etheridge D, Trudinger C, Steele P, Langenfelds RL, van Ommen T, Smith A, Elkins JW (2006) *Geophys Res Lett* 33:L14810, doi:10.1029/2006GL026152.
19. Monnin E, Steig EJ, Siegenthaler U, Kawamura K, Schwander J, Stauffer B, Stocker TF, Morse DL, Barnola JM, Bellier B, Raynaud D, Fischer H (2004) *Earth Planet Sci Lett* 224:45-54.
20. Siegenthaler U, Monnin E, Kawamura K, Spahni R, Schwander J, Stauffer B, Stocker TF, Barnola JM, Fischer H (2005) *Tellus Ser B* 57:51-57.
21. Blunier T, Chappellaz JA, Schwander J, Barnola JM, Despertis T, Stauffer B, Raynaud D (1993) *Geophys Res Lett* 20:2219-2222.
22. Stauffer B, Fischer G, Neftel A, Oeschger H (1985) *Science* 229:1386-1388.
23. Steele LP, Dlugokencky EJ, Lang PM, Tans PP, Martin RC, Masarie KA (1992) *Nature* 358:313-16.
24. Machida T, Nakazawa T, Fujii Y, Aoki S, Watanabe O (1995) *Geophys Res Lett* 22:2921-2924.
25. Battle M, Bender M, Sowers T, Tans PP, Butler JH, Elkins JW, Ellis JT, Conway T, Zhang N, Lang P, Clarke AD (1996) *Nature* 383:231-235.

26. Ferretti DF, Miller JB, White JWC, Etheridge DM, Lassey KR, Lowe DC, MacFarling Meure CM, Dreier MF, Trudinger CM, van Ommen TD, Langenfelds RL (2005) *Science* 309:1714-1717.
27. Dlugokencky EJ, Steele LP, Lang PM, Masarie KA (1994) *J Geophys Res* 99:17021-17043.
28. Keeling CD, Whorf TP (2005) in *In Trends: A Compendium of Data on Global Change. Carbon Dioxide Information Analysis Center, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, U.S. Department of Energy, Oak Ridge, Tenn., U.S.A.*
29. Enting IG (1987) *J Geophys Res* 92:10977-10984.
30. Ramaswamy V, Boucher O, Haigh J, Hauglustaine D, Haywood J, Myhre G, Nakajima T, Shi GY, Solomon S (2001) in *Climate Change 2001: The Scientific Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Third Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*, eds. Houghton JT, Ding Y, Griggs DJ, Noguer M, van der Linden PJ, Dai X, Maskell K, Johnson CA (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, UK), pp 349-416.
31. Plattner, G.-K., Knutti R, Joos F, Stocker TF, von Bloh W, Brovkin V, Cameron D, Driesschaert E, Dutkiewicz S, Eby M, *et al.* (2007) *J Climate*, in press.

285 **Table 1:** Average rate of change in the CO₂ concentration (ppm = parts per
 286 million by volume) and its radiative forcing for distinct periods of the past and for
 287 the 20th century as evaluated using Equation 2 and the Dome C data. The
 288 beginning and end of the periods for the Dome C records are given in thousand
 289 years before 1950 AD (ka BP); numbers of digits are not representative for the
 290 uncertainty of the age scale.

291

t_1 (ka BP)	t_2 (ka BP)	$c(t_1)$ (ppm)	$c(t_2)$ (ppm)	Δt (kyr)	Δc (ppm)	$\Delta c / \Delta t$ (ppm kyr ⁻¹)	$\Delta RF / \Delta t$ (10 ⁻³ W m ⁻² kyr ⁻¹)
- 20.797	- 17.292	184.4	188.5	3.505	4.2	1	34
- 17.292	- 15.682	188.5	219.4	1.610	30.9	19	504
- 15.682	- 14.565	219.4	228.5	1.117	9.1	8	195
- 14.565	- 14.270	228.5	239.1	0.294	10.6	36	825
- 14.270	- 12.748	239.1	237.5	1.522	-1.6	-1	-24
- 12.748	- 11.525	237.5	265.2	1.223	27.7	22	483
- 11.525	- 7.356	265.2	260.1	4.169	-5.1	-1	-25
- 7.356	- 0.434	260.1	282.0	6.922	21.8	3	62
1900 AD	2000 AD	296	367	0.100	71.	710	11,503

292

293 **Table 2:** As table 2, but for the GRIP CH₄ record (ppb = parts per billion by
 294 volume) and age as thousand years before 1989 AD (ka BP). The overlapping
 295 with N₂O has been neglected in the calculation of radiative forcing.
 296

t_1 (ka BP)	t_2 (ka BP)	$c(t_1)$ (ppb)	$c(t_2)$ (ppb)	Δt (kyr)	Δc (ppb)	$\Delta c / \Delta t$ (ppb kyr ⁻¹)	$\Delta RF / \Delta t$ (10 ⁻³ W m ⁻² kyr ⁻¹)
- 20.803	- 16.685	365.0	364.0	4.118	-1.0	-0.2	-0.2
- 16.685	- 15.643	364.0	486.7	1.042	122.7	118	103
- 15.643	- 14.716	486.7	500.0	0.927	13.3	14	12
- 14.716	- 14.441	500.0	627.0	0.275	127.0	461	351
- 14.441	- 12.760	627.0	680.0	1.680	53.0	32	22
- 12.760	- 12.422	680.0	476.7	0.339	-203.3	-600	-451
- 12.422	- 11.715	476.7	475.0	0.706	-1.7	-2	-2
- 11.715	- 11.546	475.0	722.0	0.169	247.0	1,460	1,081
- 11.546	- 9.640	722.0	715.0	1.906	-7.0	-4	-2
- 9.640	- 5.195	715.0	573.0	4.445	-142.0	-32	-23
- 5.195	- 0.375	573.0	716.5	4.820	143.5	30	21
1900 AD	2000 AD	867	1755	0.100	888	8,880	4,481

297
 298

Table 3: Equations to calculate radiative forcing relative to a preindustrial reference concentration (C_0). The overlap in absorption bands between N_2O and CH_4 is taken into account using the overlap function $f(M,N)=0.47 \ln(1+2.01 \times 10^{-5} (MN)^{0.75} + 5.31 \times 10^{-15} M(MN)^{1.52})$. Direct and indirect sulfate aerosol forcing is computed from anthropogenic, eSO_x , and natural, E_{nat} , sulfur emissions with $E_{nat}=42$ TgS. Formulations for additional agents can be found in (16, 30) as updated by (31)

agent	equation	C_0
CO_2	$RF = 5.35 \text{ W m}^{-2} \ln(CO_2/CO_{2,o})$	278 ppm
CH_4	$RF = 0.036 \text{ W m}^{-2} (\sqrt{CH_4} - \sqrt{CH_{4,0}})$ $- (f(CH_4, N_2O_0) - f(CH_{4,0}, N_2O_0))$	742 ppb
N_2O	$RF = 0.12 \text{ W m}^{-2} (\sqrt{N_2O} - \sqrt{N_2O_0})$ $- (f(CH_{4,0}, N_2O) - f(CH_{4,0}, N_2O_0))$	272 ppb
CFC-11	$RF = 0.25 \text{ W m}^{-2} (CFC-11 - CFC-11_0)$	0 ppt
CFC-12	$RF = 0.32 \text{ W m}^{-2} (CFC-12 - CFC-12_0)$	0 ppt
Tropospheric Sulphate	$RF(S\text{-direct}) = -0.4 \text{ W m}^{-2} eSO_x(t)/eSO_x(t=2000 \text{ AD})$ $RF(S\text{-indirect}) = -0.7 \text{ W m}^{-2} \ln((E_{nat} + eSO_x)/E_{nat})$ $(\ln((E_{nat} + eSO_x(t=2000 \text{ AD}))/E_{nat}))^{-1}$	







